



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,238	03/19/2004	Jens Ole Andersen	81421-4035	6603

28765 7590 12/18/2009  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
PATENT DEPARTMENT  
1700 K STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER
----------

VANATTA, AMY B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3765

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

12/18/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com  
mwalker@winston.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/804,238	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ANDERSEN, JENS OLE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Amy B. Vanatta	<b>Art Unit</b> 3765	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Amy B. Vanatta.

(2) Alan Fanucci.

**Date of Interview:** 14 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** Final rej., Notice of Appeal filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 12:30pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Rejection of claims as based upon defective reissue declaration*

Claims discussed:  
6, 12

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The examiner informed applicant that the reissue declaration filed 6/23/09 is defective since it fails to identify a specific error upon which the reissue is based. The manner in which the reissue declaration may be corrected was discussed generally.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Amy B Vanatta/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)